

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/892,577	Applicant(s) SAKAI ET AL.
	Examiner Gina C. Yu	Art Unit 1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Gina C. Yu.
 (2) Sreeni Padmanabhan.

- (3) Derek Mason.
 (4) Shogo Tanaka

Date of Interview: 25 November 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsaur

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicants will consider presenting product-by-process claims with limitations which recite distinguishing features (uniformity of the particles) of the product from the prior art.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required